

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A TYPO DA INV. D. C.	
09/938,221	08/23/2001	Seiichi Kawano	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	00/23/2001		JP920000184US1	1698
25299 75	590 10/22/2003			
IBM CORPO	IBM CORPORATION	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 12195			VU, JIM	IMY T
DEPT 9CCA, E				
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 2		27700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- 1102217Hdt, NC	21109	2821	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati m No	- DE
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/938,221	KAWANO, SEIICHI
Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Jimmy T Vu	2821
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith th correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirt vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	Luguet 2001	
2017 76: 0 1	s action is non-final.	
I III.	s action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims</li> </ol>	nce except for formal matt Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
,5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and some solution.	
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement	
Application Papers	ooton requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	ed or b) objected to by the	- Fyaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abevan	ce. See 37 CER 1 85(a)
is the proposed drawing correction filed on is	s: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Evaminer
ri approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action	· ·
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)_(d) or (6)
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	,	13(4)-(4) 01 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Ann	lication No
3. U Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been	Cobrod in this New .
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	the certified conies not rec	Seived
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(e) (to a provisional application)
ay Line dansiation of the foleign language provie	ional application to the	
y and the definition of the state of a claim for domestic pi		120 aliu/01 121.
achment(s)	00	
achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	many (PTO 412) Page 14
achment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2821

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a brightness controlling apparatus, classified in class 315, subclass 169.4.
  - II. Claims 7-8 and 12-14, drawn to a brightness adjusting system, classified in class315, subclass 382.
  - III. Claims 9-11, drawn to a computer system, classified in class 345, subclass 101.
  - IV. Claims 15-17, drawn to a computer software, classified in class 345, subclass 473.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, Group I does not have the particular (adjuster) required by Group II. Group II has separate utility such as using it in the lamps of vehicle, lighting display, etc. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination

Art Unit: 2821

as claimed in Group III does not require the particular(s) (evaluator) of the subcombination as claimed in Group I. The subcombination Group I has different utility such as using it in CRT, EL display, active matrix plasma display panel (AMPDP), etc.

4. Inventions IV and I, II, III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different operations. Group IV is required to include a computer software to operate, but Groups I-III do not necessarily require software for operation. The software can be practice in a materially different hardware or apparatus different from Groups I-III. Groups I-III can be incorporated with different software other than Group IV.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2821

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 5. should be directed to Jimmy Vu whose telephone number is (703) 306-5451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jimmy Vu

October 06, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800